

Detail of Stakeholder Engagement Process for developing MCZ recommendations



Stage	Stage 4 Explore MCZ Options: 1 st MCZ iteration	Stage 5 Explore MCZ Options: 2 nd MCZ iteration	Stage 6 Explore MCZ Options: 3 rd MCZ iteration	Stage 7 Finalise MCZ recommendations	Stage 8 Submission to JNCC and Natural England
Timetable	May – August 2010	September - November 2010	December – March 11	April 2011	May 2011
Project Team including Facilitation Team	<p>Produce required analysis.</p> <p>Initiate the development of the impact assessment.</p> <p>Update regional profile.</p> <p>Run Marxan analysis on scale of project area to allow ease of ENG interpretation by Regional Hubs</p> <p>Project team collates Hub proposals and presents to StAP:</p> <p>1 x Collated project area map</p> <p>1 x Report with narrative of hub sessions and consensus log</p>	<p>Produce further analysis as required.</p> <p>Continue development of draft Impact Assessment (IA) and initiate assessment of cumulative impacts.</p> <p>Complete data collation October 2010. Update regional profile.</p> <p>Project team collates Hub proposals and presents to StAP:</p> <p>1 x Collated project area map</p> <p>1 x Report with narrative of hub sessions and consensus log</p>	<p>Produce further analysis as required.</p> <p>Refine analysis in Impact Assessment (IA) and analysis of cumulative impacts.</p> <p>Update regional profile.</p> <p>Project team collates Hub proposals and presents to StAP:</p> <p>1 x Collated project area map</p> <p>1 x Report with narrative of hub sessions and consensus log</p>	<p>Produce further analysis as required.</p> <p>Produce draft recommendation dossier.</p> <p>Review and finalise draft Impact Assessment following feedback from Defra economists, and social scientists and SAP.</p>	<p>Collate:</p> <p>MCZ recommendation dossier.</p> <p>Impact Assessment.</p> <p>SAP Opinion.</p> <p>List objections.</p>
Regional Stakeholder Group Regional Hubs	<p>Meeting 2: Develop 1st MCZ iteration. Proposals must be sound and supportable and aim to satisfy ENG</p> <p>Liaise with wider sector.</p>	<p>Meeting 3 – Review 1st iteration/Develop 2nd iteration following StAP, SAP, Defra economists and stakeholder advice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where advice relates to meeting the ENG the Regional Hubs must take account of this in accordance with TOR point 1.2. Where StAP advice does not 	<p>Meeting 4 – Review 2nd iteration/Develop 3rd iteration following StAP, SAP, Defra economists and stakeholder advice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where advice relates to meeting the ENG the Regional Hubs must take account of this in accordance with TOR 	<p>Large Group Meeting for RSG (Regional Hubs and StAP):</p> <p>Review 3rd iteration following StAP, SAP, Defra economists, external economists, social scientists and stakeholder comment. Agreement will be sought from the RSG that the</p>	<p>Submit MCZ recommendations to Natural England and JNCC by 1st June 2011.</p>

		<p>relate directly to ENG and Hubs do not take account of advice the reasons must be communicated to the StAP.</p> <p>Project team will help Regional Hubs to understand and evaluate advice from the StAP and identify where amendments need to be made to progress to meeting the ENG.</p> <p>Liaise with wider sector.</p>	<p>point 1.2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where StAP advice does not relate directly to ENG and Hubs do not take account of advice the reasons must be communicated to the StAP. <p>Meeting 5 – Continue to develop 3rd iteration following interim advice from StAP Meeting 6:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where advice relates to meeting the ENG the Regional Hubs must take account of this in accordance with TOR point 1.2. Where StAP advice does not relate directly to ENG and Hubs do not take account of advice the reasons must be communicated to the StAP. <p>Project team will help Regional Hubs to understand and evaluate advice from the StAP and identify where amendments need to be made to progress to meeting the ENG.</p> <p>Liaise with wider sector.</p>	<p>Chair can sign off the recommendations once final amendments are made.</p> <p>The StAP Chair will sign off recommendations with approval of the StAP members. The Chair must make sure that any remaining objections/concerns from the RSG are logged.</p>	
<p>Regional Stakeholder Group</p> <p>Stakeholder Advisory Panel</p>	<p>Meeting 2: StAP consider collated hub proposals. Iteration presented to SAP including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collated map Discussions held at the hubs/StAP, Consensus log Log of StAP recommendations to be made to the Hubs at next iteration 	<p>Meeting 4: StAP consider collated hub proposals. Iteration presented to SAP including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collated map Discussions held at the hubs/StAP, Consensus log Log of StAP recommendations to be made to the Hubs at next iteration 	<p>Meeting 6: StAP consider collated hub proposals at interim stage of 3rd iteration. Feedback given directly to Hubs.</p> <p>Meeting 7: StAP consider collated hub proposals. Iteration presented to SAP including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collated map Discussions held at the hubs/StAP, 		

	<p>Liaise with wider sector.</p> <p>Meeting 3: Receive feedback from SAP, Defra economists, NCS and stakeholders. StAP direct recommended actions to the relevant Hub</p>	<p>Liaise with wider sector.</p> <p>Meeting 5: Receive feedback from SAP, Defra economists, NCS and stakeholders. StAP direct recommended actions to the relevant Hub</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consensus log, • Log of StAP advice made to the Hubs to date, • Additional recommendations that the StAP feel would better meet ENG for SAP to consider <p>Liaise with wider sector.</p>		
NCS, Local, national and international Stakeholder advice	Stakeholders to comment and feedback advice to stakeholder group representatives on 1 st iteration.	Stakeholders to comment and feedback advice to stakeholder group representatives on 2 nd iteration.	Stakeholders to comment and feedback advice to stakeholder group representatives on 3 rd iteration.		
Science Advisory Panel	<p>1st Iteration to be received on 1st July 2010.</p> <p>Review 1st iteration by 31st July 2010. Provide advice and support to regional MCZ projects.</p>	<p>Review 2nd iteration and draft impact assessments, to be received by 1st Nov 2010.</p> <p>Review 2nd iteration by 15th November 2010. Provide advice and support to regional MCZ projects.</p>	<p>Review 3rd iteration and draft impact assessments, to be received by 1st March 2011.</p> <p>Review 3rd iteration by 15th March 2011. Provide advice and support to regional MCZ projects.</p>		Review of final MCZ submission for Natural England and JNCC (June/July 2011).
Socio-economic review	Comment on socio-economic information provided by stakeholders and initial qualitative assessments.	Provide advice to regional MCZ projects on strengthening IAs to satisfy necessary standards.	Provide advice to regional MCZ projects on strengthening IAs to satisfy necessary standards.	Review of final regional IA for final recommendation phase (12).	Review of final MCZ IA submission for Natural England and JNCC.